

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R000400100036-0

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R000400100036-0

~~SECRET~~

25X1 c. Question: The object class breakdown shows rather striking variations for from 1964 through 1966. (Dollars in thousands)

<u>Object Class</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>
700	<input type="text"/>		
800			
900			

25X1

Please discuss the reasons for these variations.

Answer: The data, as we have previously discussed, was erroneously taken from several budgets and no answer is really necessary.

3. Office of Training

a. Question: Please provide schedule of numbers of COT's per year 1964 through 1966. Also cite attrition experience of COT trainees over the past two years. (In other words, I am interested in what might reasonably be construed as excessive attrition in this program, as compared with general Agency turnover.)

Answer: During Fiscal Year 1964 the number of trainees averaged 206. During Fiscal Year 1965 the number will average 274. During Fiscal Year 1966 an average strength of 306 is contemplated. The loss rate during Fiscal Year 1963 was 9 per cent, the loss rate during Fiscal Year 1964 was 5.3 per cent, and the loss rate projected on the basis of Fiscal Year 1965 experience thus far suggests a probable figure of about 4 per cent. The attrition for COT's is about one-half the Agency average for individuals at comparable grades and ages.

b. Question: How many COT's are in training at any one time under the above schedule.

25X1 Answer: Under present and projected schedules the number of COT trainees at any given time will vary from 60 to 100.

25X1 c. Question: Why do external training costs rise by \$150,000 in 1966 over 1965?

Answer: In Fiscal Year 1965 the Office of Training budgeted \$250,000 for Agency-wide nominee or quota type courses, such as the War Colleges, the Harvard Advanced Management Program and others.

~~SECRET~~

This, however, did not include all Governmental external training programs. The additional \$150,000 is required largely for the budgeting of Governmental training programs not set up on a quota or nominee basis plus the added expenses anticipated in connection with the Agency's Midcareer Training Program.

25X1

e. Question: Is OTR budgeting for the costs of training which another Agency component might also be budgeting for in 1966, e.g. WH Division?

Answer: No, the WH Division is budgeting for this item.

4. Office of Medical Services

a. Question: The budget states that consultation will be provided in the fields of urology, gynecology and orthopedic medicine. Is the provision of this type of consultative service in keeping with general government practice? If it is not, are there special reasons why the Agency should so provide in its medical program?

Answer: The Agency's consultative approach is a means of obtaining immediate diagnosis to facilitate medical clearances for overseas duty of a stressful and sensitive nature. Many of the employees so examined will not again come in contact with medical facilities until their return from overseas duty.

-3-

SECRET

25X1

c. Question: Reference is made to special study on detection of incipient illnesses. Is this effort an application of medical research already conducted outside the Agency or does it refer to basic medical research which might apply to the general population?

Answer: No, this is not an application of medical research already conducted outside the Agency. No governmental or private medical facility has access to personnel subjected to the stresses and strains of Agency and clandestine activities. For the same reason, the special study does not apply to basic medical research performed in the general population.


Special Planning Assistant to the
Deputy Director for Support

25X1

SPA-DD/S:JHP:fmf (12 Nov 64)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse

1 - DD/S Chrono

✓ 1 - DD/S Subject w/background

SECRET